## CLARAENONE, A NEW MERODITERPENE FROM BROWN ALGA.

Manuel Norte, Armando Sánchez and Antonio G. González

C.P.N.O. "Antonio González", Instituto Universitario de Bioorgánica. Universidad de La Laguna 38206 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain.

Abstract: A new meroditerpene, claraenone 1, having an unprecedented carbon skeleton with a [4,3,0] bicarbocyclic nonane ring system, has been isolated from the brown alga *Cystoseira sp.* Its structure and relative stereochemistry have been determined by spectroscopical methods.

Marine algae of the family *Cystoseiraceae* are among the most abundant along the Canary Islands coastline. This group, together with the algae belonging to the family *Sargassaceae*, is characterized by the production of a variety of tetraprenyl-hydroquinol derivatives which include regular and irregular examples with either acyclic or cyclic natures.<sup>1</sup>)

In this paper, we wish to report on the isolation and structural elucidation of a new meroditerpene, 1, with a new carbon skeleton type, in which the terpenoid component has a bicarbocyclic [4,3,0] ring system.

This compound was isolated from an extract of *Cystoseira sp*, collected by scuba at -10m at Montaña Clara (Canary Islands) in September 1990. The alga was air-dried and subsequently extracted with acetone. Diterpenoids (3% of dry weight alga) were obtained by standard open-column silica gel and Sephadex LH-20 chromatographies, using n-Hex:EtOAc (70:30) and n-Hex:CHCl<sub>3</sub>:MeOH (2:1:1), respectively, as eluents. After several chromatographies, compound 1 was isolated from the most polar diterpenoid fraction and purified by HPLC on  $\mu$ -Porasil (20% Hex:EtOAc).



Compound 1 showed an optical rotation of  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -35.8$  (c 0.52, CHCl<sub>3</sub>) and it was analysed for  $C_{28}H_{38}O_4$  in accordance with its molecular ion in the HRMS spectrum, m/z 438.2275 (calc. 438.2270). U.V. absorptions at 200.5 nm and 281 nm suggested a hydroquinol chromophore, while the IR bands at 3405, 1710 and 1600 cm<sup>-1</sup> were indicative of hydroxyl, carbonyl and aromatic functions, respectively. Moreover, the nature of the first isoprene unit ( $C_1$ - $C_4$  +  $C_{20}$ ), the presence of a cyclopentyl moiety and an isolated double bond with a Z geometry, were determined upon the basis of spectral analysis<sup>2,3</sup>).

Comparison of these data with those from related compounds<sup>4</sup>) clearly showed the lack in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of the characteristic signals for the methylene group at C<sub>4</sub> in compound 1 which, in turn, were substituted by a signal from a deshielded methine at  $\delta$  3.78. Moreover, there was an isolated methylene group at C<sub>6</sub> ( $\delta$  2.64 and 2.13). These chemical shift values were consistent with the presence of a strained ketone at C<sub>5</sub> and imply the unprecedented involvement of carbon C<sub>4</sub> in the terpenoid moiety cyclization.

Support for the above conclusions, including the tricyclic nature of the terpenoid part of the molecule, was obtained from the 2D NMR spectra (Table 1). Thus, the proton connectivities observed in the COSY map established the presence of two small fragments, one identified with the isolated double bond and the other with the characteristic methylenic cyclopentyl portion. The positions of these fragments were assured through the HMBC correlation observed between the carbons  $C_7$  and  $C_8$ , and the protons  $H_{6\alpha}$ ,  $H_{6\beta}$  and  $Me_{19}$  as well as between carbons  $C_{10}$ ,  $C_{11}$  and  $C_{12}$  and the methyl group Me<sub>18</sub>. On the other hand,  $C_{12}$  and  $C_{15}$  proved to be connected with  $H_{14}$  and  $H_{13}$ , while  $C_{13}$  was connected with  $H_4$ . These correlations established the presence and the position of a dihydrofuran ring in the cyclohexanone ring.

C#	Η	ıзС	HMBC (H#) J=4 Hz.	ROESY (H#)	C#	١H	ъС	HMBC (H#) J=4 Hz.	ROESY (H#)
1	3.35	28.2	3'	2, 16, 20, 3'	14	5.71	135.5	16, 17	16, 17, 18, 20
2	5.46	131.9	4, 20	1, 4, 3'	15		86.7	13, 14, 16, 17	
3		131.8	1, 4, 20		16	1.26	28.7	17	1, 14, 17
4	3.78	66.6	6β, 20	2, 6a, 10a	17	1.41	28.1	16	14, 16
5		209.6	4, 6α, 6β		18	1.01	20.8		6β, 13, 20
	α 2.64	10.1		4, 6β, 8α, 10α	19	1.02	22.6		6β, 13, 20
0	β 2.13	52.0		6a, 8a, 18, 19	20	1.78	18.9	4	1, 13, 18, 19
7	·	45.6	6α, 6β, 18, 19		) r		150.2	1, Me-6'	
	α 1.56		6α, 6β, 19	6α, 6β, 8β, 10α	2'		134.4	1	
ð	β 1.82	41.0		8α	3'	6.62	114.1	1, 5'	1, 2
9	1.78	19.0			4'		151.8	3', 5'	
1 10	α 2.45	26.6	18	4, 6α, 8α, 10β	5'	6.55	115.3	3', Me-6'	Me-6'
10	B 1.75	50.5		10α	6		130.8	Me-6'	
11		52.0	6β, 18, 19		Me-6'	2.27	16.2	5'	5'
12		101.2	13, 14, 18		-OMe	3.70	60.4		
13	5.66	127.7	4, 14	18, 19, 20	-ОН	5.92			

Table 1. NMR Spectral data of compound 1

Chemical shifts are reported in ppm relative to TMS. NMR spectra were acquired using Bruker AMX -400 (400 MHz).

The relative stereochemistry was established on the basis of the observed ROE connectivities. Thus, protons H<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>4</sub>, H<sub>6α</sub> and H<sub>10α</sub> were on the same face of the molecule, while H<sub>6β</sub>, Me<sub>18</sub>, Me<sub>19</sub> and Me<sub>20</sub> were on the opposite one. On the other hand, the relative stereochemistry of the spiranic centre at C<sub>12</sub> was fixed through the ROE connectivities observed between the vinylic proton H<sub>13</sub> and the methyl groups Me<sub>18</sub>, Me<sub>19</sub> and Me<sub>20</sub> (Fig. 1).



This compound showed in vitro antitumor activity (IC<sub>50</sub>=  $5 \mu g/ml$ ) against P-388 mouse leukemia.

Acknowledgements: Authors thank Dolores G. Gravalos (PharmaMar S.A. (Madrid) for a pharmacological test. M. Norte acknowledges financial support from the Plan Nacional de Investigación y Desarrollo Farmacéuticos (FAR 91-0827). A.S. thanks the AIETI Foundation for a fellowship.

## References

- 1. a) Fadli, M., Aracil J.M., Jeanty G., Banaigs B., Francisco, C. and Moreau S. Tet. Lett., 1991, 32, 2477-2480;
- b) Amico V., Piatelli M., Cunsolo F., Neri P., Ruberto G. J. Nat. Prod., 1989, 52, 962-969.
- 2. Banaigs B., Francisco C., González E., Fenical W. Tetrahedron, 1983, 39, 629-638.
- 3. Amico V., Oriente G., Neri P., Piatelli M., Ruberto G. Phytochemistry, 1987, 26, 1715-1718.
- 4. Amico V., Piatelli M., Neri P., Ruberto G., Mayol L. Tetrahedron, 1986, 42, 6015-6020.